PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

TRANSLATION INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference P042724P-6/20			FOR FURTHER ACT	ION	See Form PCT/IPEA/416						
International application No.			International filing date (day/month/year)		Priority date (day/month/year)						
PCT/EP2004/013909			07.12.2004		10.12.2003						
International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC											
B60R25/00											
Applicant											
HERTH+BUSS GMBH & CO. KG											
1.	This report is the international preliminary examination report, established by this International Preliminary Examining Authority under Article 35 and transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.										
2.	This REPORT of	consists of a total of	6	sheets, includin	ng this cover sheet.						
3.	This report is al:	so accompanied by A	NNEXES, comprising:								
	a. (sen	t to the applicant and	to the International Bureau	ı) a total of	sheets, as follows:						
				-	amended and are the basis for this report and/or						
	Ш	sheets containing re- Instructions).	ctifications authorized by the	is Authority (see Ru	ule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative						
					nsiders contain an amendment that goes beyond						
		the disclosure in the Box.	e international application	as filed, as indicated	1 in item 4 of Box No. I and the Supplemental						
	b. (sen	t to the International	Bureau only) a total of (ind	icate type and numbe	er of electronic carrier(s))						
	o	TO THE THE TRUE TO THE T	ourcum omy) a total of (ind	eare type and name	or or electronic entirer(s))						
	related	thereto in computer	readable form only as in	dicated in the Supple	, containing a sequence listing and/or tables emental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see						
		n 802 of the Administ	•	neared in the Supple	chieffed Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see						
4.	This report cont	ains indications relati	ng to the following items:								
	Box No.	. I Basis of the	report								
	Box No.	. II Priority									
	Box No.	. III Non-establi	shment of opinion with reg	ard to novelty, inven	tive step and industrial applicability						
	Box No.	. IV Lack of unit	ty of invention								
	Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability;										
	citations and explanations supporting such statement										
	Box No.	. VI Certain doc	uments cited								
	Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application										
	Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application										
Date of s	submission of the	demand	Dat	e of completion of th	nis report						
				-							
Name an	d mailing addres	s of the IPEA/EP	Aut	horized officer							
	-										
Faccimile No.			T-1	anhana Na							

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.

PCT/EP2004/013909

Box	No. I	. I Basis of the report						
1.		(ith regard to the language, this report is based on the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless dicated under this item.	s otherwise					
		This report is based on translations from the original language into the following language which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of: international search (Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b)) publication of the international application (Rule 12.4)	,					
		international preliminary examination (Rule 55.2 and/or 55.3)						
2.	rece	(ith regard to the elements of the international application, this report is based on (replacement sheets which have been a ceiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are is report): the international application as originally filed/furnished the description:						
		pages 1-16 as originally f	iled/furnished					
		pages* received by this Authority on						
		pages* received by this Authority on						
	\boxtimes	the claims:						
		nos. 1-17 as originally f	iled/furnished					
		nos.* as amended (together with any statement) un	der Article 19					
		nos.* received by this Authority on						
		nos.* received by this Authority on						
	\boxtimes	the drawings:						
		sheets 1/2-2/2 as originally f	iled/furnished					
		sheets* received by this Authority on						
		sheets* received by this Authority on						
		a sequence listing and/or any related table(s) – see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing.						
3.		The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:						
		the description, pages						
		the claims, nos.						
		the drawings, sheets/figs]					
		the sequence listing (specify):						
		any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):						
4.		This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this report and listed below had not be they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).						
		the description, pages						
		the claims, nos.						
		the drawings, sheets/figs						
		the sequence listing (specify):						
		any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):						
*	If ite	item 4 applies, some or all of those sheets may be marked "superseded."						

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/013909

Box	Reasoned statement citations and expla				h regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; statement	
1.	Statement					
	Novelty (N)	Claims	2,	5,	6, 8 ,10, 12-17	YES
		Claims	1,	3,	4, 7, 9, 11	NO
	Inventive step (IS)	Claims	2,	5,	12-17	YES
		Claims	1,	3,	4, 6-11	NO
	Industrial applicability (IA) Clain		1-3	17		YES
		Claims				NO
2.	Citations and explanations (Rule 7	70.7)				

- 1. Reference is made to the following documents:
 - D1: WO 02/093521 A (WADE, DARREN, GEORGE),
 - 21 November 2002 (2002-11-21)
 - D2: WO 01/18491 A (KIM, GUI, JU),
 - 15 March 2001 (2001-03-15)
 - D3: EP-A-1 255 235 (LAND ROVER GROUP LIMITED),
 - 6 November 2002 (2002-11-06)
 - D4: DE 202 17 446 U1 (KIRKLIES MICHAEL),
 - 6 February 2003 (2003-02-06)

2. NOVELTY

The application fails to meet the requirements of PCT Article 33(1) because the subject matter of claims 1, 3, 4, 7, 9 and 11 is not novel (PCT Article 33(2)).

a. Document D1 discloses (the references in parentheses are to D1) a system for monitoring the cargo space (14) in a transportation unit (see page 1, lines 30 to 31), having a control unit (38) which can be supplied with a characteristic value indicating the state of movement of the transportation unit (see page 2, lines 19 to 22,

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

and page 5, lines 20 to 27), and which is connected at the data input end to a plurality of movement sensors (see reference sign 36, and page 1, line 36 to page 2, line 3), and at the data output end to a plurality of image capture devices (VC1, VC2, VC3).

The subject matter of claims 1 and 11 therefore lacks novelty.

b. Document D1 discloses a monitoring system in which the image capture device or devices (VC1, VC2, VC3) is/are connected to a digital memory module (see reference sign 32, and page 4, line 35 to page 5, line 2) and the control unit (38) is connected at the data output end to a transmitter (34) for wireless data transmission, and at the data input end to an information system in the transportation unit.

The subject matter of claims 3, 4, 7 and 9 therefore lacks novelty.

3. <u>INVENTI</u>VE STEP

The application fails to meet the requirements of PCT Article 33(1) because the subject matter of claims 6, 8 and 10 does not involve an inventive step (PCT Article 33(3)).

a. The features defined in claim 8 have already been employed for the same purpose in a similar monitoring system (see document D3, in particular paragraph [0012]). A person skilled in the art wishing to

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

achieve the same purpose with a monitoring system as described in D1 could easily incorporate these features with similar results. It would thus be possible to arrive at a monitoring system as defined in claim 8 without making an inventive contribution.

The subject matter of claim 8 is therefore not inventive.

b. The features defined in claim 10 have already been employed for the same purpose in a similar monitoring system (see document D4, in particular page 9, lines 4 to 13). A person skilled in the art wishing to achieve the same purpose with a monitoring system as described in D1 could easily incorporate these features with similar results. It would thus be possible to arrive at a monitoring system as defined in claim 10 without making an inventive contribution.

The subject matter of claim 10 is therefore not inventive.

- c. The features introduced in claim 6 are already known from D4 (see page 8, lines 21 to 27). The subject matter of claim 6 and the method according to claim 15 are not inventive in relation to D1 and D4.
- d. The features of claims 2 and 5 can be considered inventive because they help to avoid false alarms. These features are not standard measures for a person skilled in the art.

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

- e. The combination of features in dependent claim 8 (when dependent on claim 5; see Box VIII of the Written Opinion of the International Searching Authority (WOSA)) is neither known from nor suggested by the prior art. The memory module is used not only to store the recorded images but also to store permitted loading and/or unloading positions. This makes the system even more secure without requiring additional memory capacity.
- f. The method according to claim 12 can be considered inventive. Document D1 discloses a method for monitoring the cargo space in a transportation unit, wherein a number of image capture devices are activated if a movement is detected in the cargo space. With this method it is likely that there will be false alarms. In the present invention the image capture devices also take into account the state of movement of the transportation unit. In documents D2 and D4 the state of movement of the transportation unit is not used as a triggering criterion for activation of the image capture devices. Therefore a combination of the teachings of D1 and D2 (or D4) would not lead to the subject matter of claim 12.

Claims 13 to 17 are dependent on claim 12 and are therefore also novel and inventive.